Application No. 10/617,614 Amendment Dated 5 May 2005 Reply to Office Action of 7 February 2005

Remarks

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1 and 4 - 6 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,701,857 to Jensen et al. The Examiner has also rejected Claim 2 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensen et al. in view of US Patent 5,964,300 to Wattonville et al. The Examiner has indicated that Claim 11 is allowed and Claims 3 and 7 - 10 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, Claim 1 has now been amended to include the limitations of Claims 6 and 7, and Claims 6 and 7 have been cancelled.

In conclusion, it is believed that this application is in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner believe that a telephonic conference would be useful in furthering the present application toward allowance, the undersigned attorney would welcome such a call.

Any fees or charges due as a result of filing of the present paper may be charged against Deposit Account 04-0525. Two duplicates of this page are enclosed.

Respectfully,

Attorney for Applicant(s)

W. Michael Dixon Reg. No. 37,815 Patent Department Deere & Company One John Deere Place Moline, IL 61265 Telephone No. (309) 765-515

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an

envelope addinased to: Mail Stop

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450